

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/005,210	12/04/2001	Ron Shu Yuen Hui	12364.27USU1	6882	
23552	7590 01/21/2004	EXAMINER		INER	
MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903			VU, BAO Q		
	LIS, MN 55402-0903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2838		
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/21/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/005,	210	HUI ET AL.				
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
		Bao Q.		2838				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	tion appears on t	he cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ac	ddress			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA sisons of time may be available under the provisions of 30 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no ation. 1ys, a reply within the siry period will apply and by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a r tatutory minimum of thin will expire SIX (6) MON pplication to become AE	eply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered time ITHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	☐ This action is	non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 🖾	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	i) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖾	6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election	requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
a)[* S 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International tee the attached detailed Office action for cknowledgment is made of a claim for docknowledgment is made of	cuments have be cuments have be the priority docur Bureau (PCT R or a list of the ce domestic priority the first sentence age provisional a domestic priority	een received. een received in A nents have been ule 17.2(a)). rtified copies not under 35 U.S.C. ce of the specification has be under 35 U.S.C.	pplication No received in this National received. § 119(e) (to a provisiona ation or in an Application een received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	al application) Data Sheet.			
Attachment	(s)							
1) D Notice 2) D Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper			iummary (PTO-413) Paper No nformal Patent Application (PTo				

Application/Control Number: 10/005,210 Page 2

Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Midya et al. (USP 5,801,519). Midya discloses (see figure 6) a maximum power point (14) of a solar panel (10) with a pulse width modulated (PWM) see column 6 and lines 7-24, with introduction of a perturbation into a switching parameter of a converter, and see column 4, lines 23-42, prior art descriptions of the perturbations of the various switching parameters such as duty cycle, frequency are well known in the art. See also column 4 lines 45-68, and column 5, lines 1-15, and also, column 9, lines 15-47.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Midya et al. (USP 5,801,519) in view of Lai et al. (USP 5,617,306)

Application/Control Number: 10/005,210 Page 3

Art Unit: 2838

Midya discloses the claimed invention; see above paragraphs, except for the use of a cuk or septic type converter. Lai discloses that it is known in the art to provide the use of a cuk type converter in a system that utilizes perturbations in the control of the circuit. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide the use of a cuk type converter in a system that utilizes perturbations in the control of the circuit of Lai with the a maximum power point of a solar panel in a pulse width modulated circuit of Midya, in order to fully utilize the advantages of the cuk circuit for the control of the perturbations within the circuit.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 12/5/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The crux/ basis of applicant's arguments is "Midya is exclusively directed to identifying a maximum power point based on a power derivative. Midya nowhere discloses or even suggests determining a maximum power point based on input characteristics of a converter." One of ordinary skill in the art can deduce that by reading the cited passages of the prior art above that the input parameters mainly the perturbations are being introduced into the circuit and is measured so as to determine the maximum power point. Please reread the cited paragraphs above.
- 6. Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically

Art Unit: 2838

pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.

7. Applicant's arguments do not comply with 37 CFR 1.111(c) because they do not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. Further, they do not show how the amendments avoid such references or objections.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/005,210 Page 5

Art Unit: 2838

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bao Q. Vu whose telephone number is (703) 308-2318. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Fridays, 8:00AM- 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael S. Sherry can be reached on (703) 308-1680. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Bao Q. Vu Primary Examiner

Par Vi

Art Unit 2838

January 15, 2004